## Transportand ConfinementITPATaskGroupAnnualReport:20092010 S.M.Kaye,PPPL

The Transportand Confinement Topical Groupheld two meetings this pastyear. The first washeld on Oct. 5 7 in Princeton, NJ, USA following the IAEATCM on H mode and Transport Barrier physics. This meeting was joint with the Pedesta group, and the joint session included reviews and discussions on several L H threshold physics topics, including species dependence access good confinement retimes, hysteresis, dependence of threshold on rotation, hidden variables and theory. Other topics discussed uring this meeting were databases specifically the moment umdatabase, electron transport, transport model validation, and the status and plans for

ТСӨ	Scalingof intrinsic rotation with no	CMod/TCVsimilarityexpt.
	externalmomentuminput	
TCr10	Expt'lidentification of ITG, TEM, and	OngoingJointActivity
	ETGurbulenceandcomparisonto	Coupleto TC1r1, study ETG or
	codes	electrontransport
TCr11	Heprofilesandtransport coefficients	JointActivity; call for data
TCf12	H modetransport at low aspectratio	NSTXlow n*), MAST(qscan)
TCr13	ITGcriticalgradientandprofile	CMod (active),JET
	stiffness	
TCr14	RFrotation drive with ICRHLHand	CMod, JETDIII D, AUG,
	ECH	JT60U,EAST(?);LHCD/ECH
TC15	Dependenceof momentumand	NSTXDIIID, JET(AUG)
	particlepinchon n <sup>*</sup>	Reassesis 2010
TCr16	Physicsmodelvalidationduring	OngoingJointActivity
	current ramp up phase	
Being	Determinationof "residualstress"	DIIID, NSTXJETJT60U
considered		
Being	Effectof non axisymmetridieldson L r	DIIID, JETMASTNSTX
considered	Hthreshold(EFvsrotation	
	dependence?)	
Being	Electrontransportinducedby	NSTXMASTAUG
considered	microtearing,fast ion driven modes	
Being	Pelletfueling, pellet induced particle	Crosscutting workinggroup
considered	transport	topic

In addition to the above experiments and activities, database work is still ongoing, although to a lesserextent than in previous years. The status of the databases given below:

1. Momentum database(M. Yoshida)

and reducing uncertainties in  $\ensuremath{P_{\!\!\!\!H}}$  The plan is to discuss details (data, validation) at dedicated experiments and analysis of data already obtained. It was felt by all that the priority of this ITPAwork should be elevated to the highest level. The I mode, observed on C Mod, AUG and DIII D was also discussed as a possible operation scenario for ITER. The I mode has H mode like energy confinement (0.8 fl xH), but L mode like particle confinement, and, therefore, no ELMs. The I mode can be obtained only at high power in, so far, a counter injection plasma impode fmn io gd he 0v

е

Tangmodel does not also have the virtue of reliably predicting the peripheral temperatures (although the central temperatures are frequently acceptable) Another important lesson is that superficially small differences in electron temperature profiles measured by different diagnostics can produce very significant differences in predictions of current penetration times cale (as determined by time of first sawtooth, for example). Solid documentation of all experiments will be needed for transport model validation.

Simulations of ITERsimilar current ramps in DIII D also demonstrates hortcomings of the Coppil angmodel (again in the periphery), but simulations of current profile evolution based on measured Te served to validate the neoclassical model for parallel conductivity (a validated conductivity model is also necessary for ITER predictions).

The EUISM effort is led by a several people using three transport codes, which were said to have been benchmarked successfully against each other. This effort addeddata from Tore Supraand AUG to six JETshots (some of which are outside the set used above by Voitsekhovitch and Budny). Again the CoppirTang and GLF23 models did not fare well, while the Bohm gyro Bohmmodel was often acceptable ITER

peakingoccurringonly for on axisheating with PECHP0.7MW. Similarrelated observationshavebeen previously seen in DIII D and JT60U. Intrinsic rotation in JET ICR fheated H modes showed that the rotation was counter current, and did not exhibit any scaling with plasmapressure. This result is a mystery. Studieson Tore Suprausedan approachwhich wasto vary the edge ripple to control edgerotation in order to study the effect on v<sub>pinch</sub> and intrinsic rotation. In the case of Tore Supra, the ripple could be increased o 7%. By varying the plasmasizeto change the edgeripple, ion loss due to toroidal field ripple wasfound to induce counter current rotation. The rotation was found to increase in this counterdirection with both increasing Pichand PLH with no change in the edgerotation. Experiments on C Mod were devoted to a TCV similarity experimenton rotation inversions which occurat a very precised ensity threshold. An L r mode rotation databases being populated for comparison with other devices notably JETandTCVRotationin I mode on C Mod exhibits characteristics similar to H mode. Thecore H mode rotation was found to depend on the local pedestal pressure gradient, suggesting role of the residualstressin driving the rotation. Direct measurement of vorticity fluctuations and intrinsic poloidal rotation were reported on CSDX and the correlation with the residual stress. They were able to measure the total and diffusive stress, and subtract the two to find the residuals tress that was related to collisional drift waveturbulence.Potentialfluctuations in the edgevelocity shearlayer of TJH plasmasanda bifurcation of their propagation direction at the separatrixwere found to dependon the density. A rotation sinkin JET plasmasdue to drag from neutralswas reported.

Momentum fouE61 TfTath(prophear)Tj /C2\_0 1 Tf 01vnaf -0.0009 Td 0.225 10%(st2/MC%)Dot >> BDC

that a total convection directed outwards is usually difficult to obtain in simulations of plasmaconditions at which it is observed particularly for impurities like B or C. In addition, emphasishas been given to the role of turbulent diffusion of impurities, and it has been suggested o makespecific comparisons between the oretical predictions and experimental observations on this parameter, applying proper normalizations in particular the ratio of the impurity diffusivity to the effective heat conductivity.

pastobservations of Hetransport in DIII D were reviewed. He density profiles have been measured for a variety of plasmas in stationary conditions, and transiert transport experiments with Hegaspuffs have allowed the measurement of the Hediffusivity and convection. The main result is that the Hedensity profile is found to have the same shape of the electron density profile in all types of discharges independent of the edge sourceor sink. Using Hegaspuffs to estimate the D and F it wasfound that both are in the range of a few m<sup>2</sup>/s and a few m/s respectively. In particular, D wasfound to scale as gyroBohmin the core, but as Bohmfarther out, similar to results for the thermal diffusivity. Present plans are to contribute with **po** blished  $\hat{f}x \delta S \hat{U} \hat{A} \delta \hat{A}_{-} + D 0$ 

current. An inward convection

observed values of  $R/L_{ne}$ , unless turbulence producing much larger (electron) heat transport than particle transport is at play.

 $\label{eq:ITB} ITB formation and evolution with \ co \ rand \ counter \ NB lin \ MAST using high \ resolution \ kinetic \ and \ q$ 

asion ITBsor hybrids. The commonly used quenching rule of turbulence by ExB flow shear indicates only a threshold up shift, and it was proposed to re examine an alternative version of it that is supported by non linear fluid turbulences imulations of Resistive allooning Modes This alternative version fore sees also a change in stiffness, more consistent with JET experimental results.

Non finear simulations of ITB formation due to ExBor magnetics hearwere presented. Barriers are seen in codes with externally imposed ExBshear and also with self r generated zonal flows, but in slab cases and at rather large U only. The externally imposed or self generated ExBshear is seen to affect stiffness, reducing growth rates by a factor  $1/(1 + a_{ExB}^2)$ . In present simulations, however, the self generated Er is not consistent with neo classical ransport. Low magnetics hear is not generally seen to trigger barriers because of the presence of non resonant modes. However in the case of low s and  $q_{min}=2$ , reduced transport was seen in GYROIn the future we will be in better position to explore such is sues with the availability of global, g I o b a b  $\tilde{A}$   $\tilde{A}$  On the operational side, since ITER needs core improved confinement for steadyr state AT scenarios:

- In which channels it reachable? Or wanted? ITG dominants orotation needed. More experiments trying to achieve on improved confinement without NBIrotation?
- Which q profile is preferred? Strong by versed, mildly reversed, flat?  $q_{min} \sim 4 \text{ or } q_{min} \sim 2$ ?
- Isa JT60 like scenario with early heating, high q<sub>min</sub>, strongly reversed q technically achievable in ITER? liss not in JET due to NBIshine through
- Avoid impurity accumulation with RFin ITBs: more results in addition to JT60?

Summary

The high priority items outlined in the ITERR&Ddocumentare still relevant, and the work plan for 2010£011 for the T&Cgroup will not be significantly changed. We see more work done on transport model validation and L H thresholds. In addition, we plan to develop more JEXs n electron transport and participate in a working group topic on pellet injection and fueling.