

Transport and Confinement ITPA Task Group Annual Report: 2009-2010
S.M. Kaye, PPPL

The Transport and Confinement Topical Group held two meetings this past year. The first was held on Oct. 5-7 in Princeton, NJ, USA following the IAEA TC Mon H mode and Transport Barrier physics. This meeting was joint with the Pedestal group, and the joint session included reviews and discussion on several L-H threshold physics topics, including species dependence, access to good confinement regimes, hysteresis, dependence of threshold on rotation, hidden variables and theory. Other topics discussed during this meeting were databases, specifically the momentum database, electron transport, transport model validation, and the status and plans for

TC9	Scaling of intrinsic rotation with no external momentum input	C Mod/TCV similarity expt.
TC10	Expt'l identification of ITG, TEM, and ETG turbulence and comparison to codes	Ongoing Joint Activity Couple to TC11, study ETG for electron transport
TC11	H profiles and transport coefficients	Joint Activity; call for data
TC12	H mode transport at low aspect ratio	NSTX (low n^*), MAST (ϕ scan)
TC13	ITG critical gradient and profile stiffness	C Mod (active), JET
TC14	R rotation drive with ICRH, LH and ECH	C Mod, JET DIII D, AUG, JT60U, EAST(?); LHCD/ECH
TC15	Dependence of momentum and particle pinch on n^*	NSTX DIII D, JET (AUG) Reassessment 2010
TC16	Physics model validation during current ramp up phase	Ongoing Joint Activity
Being considered	Determination of "residual stress"	DIII D, NSTX, JET, JT60U
Being considered	Effect of non axisymmetric fields on L r H threshold (EF vs rotation dependence?)	DIII D, JET, MAST, NSTX
Being considered	Electron transport induced by microtearing, fast ion driven modes	NSTX, MAST, AUG
Being considered	Pellet fueling, pellet induced particle transport	Cross-cutting working group topic

In addition to the above experiments and activities, database work is still ongoing, although to a lesser extent than in previous years. The status of the databases is given below:

1. Momentum database (M. Yoshida)

and reducing uncertainties in P_{LH} . The plan is to discuss details (data, validation) at

dedicated experiments and analysis of data already obtained. It was felt by all that the priority of this ITPA work should be elevated to the highest level. The I mode, observed on C Mod, AUG and DIII D was also discussed as a possible operation scenario for ITER. The I mode has H mode like energy confinement (0.8 τ_{EH}), but L mode like particle confinement, and, therefore, no ELMs. The I mode can be obtained only at high power in, so far, a counter injection plasma; mode τ_{m} i o g d h e @v e

Tang model does not also have the virtue of reliably predicting the peripheral temperatures (although the central temperatures are frequently acceptable). Another important lesson is that superficially small differences in electron temperature profiles measured by different diagnostics can produce very significant differences in predictions of current penetration timescale (as determined by time of first sawtooth, for example). Solid documentation of all experiments will be needed for transport model validation.

Simulations of ITER similar current ramps in DIII-D also demonstrate shortcomings of the Coppi-Tang model (again in the periphery), but simulations of current profile evolution based on measured T_e served to validate the neoclassical model for parallel conductivity (a validated conductivity model is also necessary for ITER predictions).

The EUISMEffort is led by a several people using three transport codes, which were said to have been benchmarked successfully against each other. This effort added data from Tore Supra and AUG to six JET shots (some of which are outside the set used above by Voitsekhovitch and Budny). Again the Coppi-Tang and GLF23 models did not fare well, while the Bohm gyroBohm model was often acceptable. ITER

that a total convection directed outwards is usually difficult to obtain in simulations of plasma conditions at which it is observed, particularly for impurities like B or C. In addition, emphasis has been given to the role of turbulent diffusion of impurities, and it has been suggested to make specific comparisons between theoretical predictions and experimental observations on this parameter, applying proper normalizations in particular the ratio of the impurity diffusivity to the effective heat conductivity.

past observations of He transport in DIII-D were reviewed. He density profiles have been measured for a variety of plasma stationary conditions, and transient transport experiments with He gas puffs have allowed the measurement of the He diffusivity and convection. The main result is that the He density profile is found to have the same shape of the electron density profile in all types of discharges independent of the edge source or sink. Using He gas puffs to estimate the D and F it was found that both are in the range of a few m^2/s and a few m/s respectively. In particular, D was found to scale as gyroBohm in the core, but as Bohm farther out, similar to results for the thermal diffusivity. Present plans are to contribute with published results.

current. An inward convection

observed values of R/L_{ne} , unless turbulence producing much larger (electron) heat transport than particle transport is at play.

ITB formation and evolution with co and counter NB in MAST using high resolution kinetic and q

On the operational side, since ITER needs core improved confinement for steady state AT scenarios:

- In which channels is it reachable? Or wanted? ITG dominant so rotation needed. More experiments trying to achieve improved confinement without NB rotation?
- Which q profile is preferred? Strongly reversed, mildly reversed, flat? $q_{min} \sim 4$ or $q_{min} \sim 2$?
- Is a JT60 like scenario with early heating, high q_{min} , strongly reversed q technically achievable in ITER? It is not in JET due to NB shine through
- Avoid impurity accumulation with RF in ITBs: more results in addition to JT60?

Summary

The high priority items outlined in the ITER R&D document are still relevant, and the work plan for 2010-2011 for the T&C group will not be significantly changed. We see more work done on transport model validation and LH thresholds. In addition, we plan to develop more JEX on electron transport and participate in a working group topic on pellet injection and fueling.